



CÁTEDRA INOCENCIO III

INVESTIGACIÓN EN
HISTORIA DEL DERECHO,
DERECHO COMÚN Y
DERECHO CANÓNICO

EVALUATION FORM OF THE WORKS FOR VERGENTIS

1. Personal evaluation (no more than 300 words in each section)
--

DATA OF THE REFEREE (will not be disclosed to the person being evaluated)

Name and surname

Academic affiliation

Academic position

Speciality

Postal address

E-mail address

TITLE OF THE ARTICLE

- 1. Rating** (*excellent/good, acceptable or not acceptable*).

- 2. Overall recommendation on the work** (*whether to accept it; accept it by indicating some corrections; or reject it*)

- 3. Answer to the following basic questions in support of the aforementioned considerations:**
 - a) **Compliance of the topic with the orientation of the journal** (*personal, original and unpublished research work*).

 - b) **Originality and interest of the topic**

 - c) **Methodology and results achieved**

 - d) **Relevance concerning the ongoing research within the area or matter being addressed**

- e) **Consideration of the research carried out by other authors on the same subject**
- f) **Rigour in the development of arguments and analysis**
- g) **Precise use of concepts and methods**
- h) **Match between title and content**
- i) **Linguistic correction and clarity in the presentation**

2. Specific guidelines for evaluating the article
--

TITLE

	YES	DOUBTFUL	NO
1.- It clearly indicates the content of the study (research problem and main variables)			
2.- It is clear, easy to understand			
3.- It is concise (15 words)			
4.- It identifies the key words (descriptors) of the study			
5.- It uses complete words (no abbreviations or acronyms) and it is grammatically correct			

ABSTRACT

	YES	DOUBTFUL	NO
1.- It allows to identify the basic content quickly and accurately			
2.- It is clear, easy to understand			
3.- It clearly describes the objective			
4.- It is concise			
5.- It uses complete words (no abbreviations or acronyms)			

DEVELOPMENT

Introduction

	YES	DOUBTFUL	NO
1.- The object of the research is clearly presented			
2.- It avoids extensions or issues that wander from the research without justification			
3.- The style is unambiguous			
4.- The general topic (field of study) is promptly presented and then moves on to the research problem			

Research

	YES	DOUBTFUL	NO
5.- The object of the research is identified and defined			
6.- The background of the problem is presented without delay			
7.- The fundamental reason why the problem was selected is clear. The research on that problem is justified to fill an information gap			
8.- The research is carried out according to the scientific parameters required for a research article			
9.- The research of the problem is feasible and relevant			

Bibliography

	YES	DOUBTFUL	NO
10.- The bibliography identifies what is currently known –on the basis of what has			

been published on the research problem			
11.- The bibliography is relevant to the problem of the study			
12.- The bibliography reflects background information on the problem, necessary to support the justification of the study			
13.- The references quoted in the text are well documented and current			
14.- The relationship between the problem of the research and previous research is direct and clear			
15.- The bibliography presents a range of experiences, theories and opinions with different and complementary point of views on the problem			
16.- The review identifies important information gaps about the problem			

CONCLUSIONS

	YES	DOUBTFUL	NO
17.- The research is not isolated and it is linked with existing theories			
18.- It is developed in a logical and coherent way			
19.- The theoretical framework is adequate for the problem of the research			
20.- Conclusions can be reached in a logical and understandable way			
21.- The development of the study is useful to clarify relevant concepts and reach conclusions			